

Dianne Boisvert

From: Katelyn E. Sullivan
Sent: January 06, 2020 1:22 PM
To: Dianne Boisvert
Subject: FW: [Ext]10 Mulliken Way; Dog Kennel; Site plan review; 19-302
Attachments: ltr to JE White 12-31-19.pdf

Hi-

Did I send you this? Can you please post?

From: Jon-Eric White
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2020 10:23 AM
To: Mark W. Griffin
Cc: Stephen Sawyer; SCOTT DEVIVO; Kayla A. Provencher; Julia Godtfredsen; Katelyn E. Sullivan; Nicholas Federico; Diane Gagnon
Subject: RE: [Ext]10 Mulliken Way; Dog Kennel; Site plan review; 19-302

Mark,

Thank you for clarifying the cleanup procedures and the purpose and function of the outdoor area. I reattached your letter herein as reference for this email's other recipients.

Your client's proposed procedure is **acceptable** and the trench is **not required**. I agree that it is an unnecessary burden to go through permitting with the Conservation Commission when the benefits of the trench do not far outweigh the negative impacts that the trench will have on the wetland buffer and/or No Disturb. For the record – in the event more kennels and doggie daycares are proposed in our city – what makes us comfortable eliminating the trench are the following:

- The kennel's personnel will remove the solid waste from the turf as soon as practical so the only fecal matter will be the residual staining.
- There will also be a dumping area inside the building so the outdoor area should not see the level of use that I had originally anticipated. I assumed the extreme case of 80+ events per day. As I mentioned before, this 'use' is new to our Department.
- The sand layer beneath the turf is intended to perform the same treatment functions as leaching fields and the volume of sand seems quite large (i.e. oversized) compared to the intended frequency of use so the sand's efficacy should be high.
- Biodegradable cleaners will be used to treat the remaining residual.

As I mentioned previously, the latest EPA NPDES MS4 Stormwater Permit requires us to begin water quality testing of our city's stormwater runoff at the point of entering surface waters. If our testing results show high levels of bacteria, we will inform the kennel operator so they can make the appropriate changes. However, if the aforementioned procedures are followed, I do not suspect that we will have any issues.

Jon-Eric

From: Mark W. Griffin [<mailto:mark@finnic.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2019 1:56 PM
To: Jon-Eric White

Cc: Stephen Sawyer; SCOTT DEVIVO

Subject: [Ext]10 Mulliken Way; Dog Kennel; Site plan review; 19-302

external e-mail use caution opening

Hi Jon-Eric:

Please see attached my letter in the above matter. Kindly let me know your response. Thank you.

Mark W. Griffin, Esq.
Finneran & Nicholson, P.C.
30 Green Street
Newburyport, MA 01950
Tel 978-462-1514 ext.134
Fax 978-465-2584
mark@finnic.com